# STATE OF FLORIDA DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

SANTA	A ROSA	COUNTY	SCHOOL	BOARD,	,				
	Petiti	ioner,							
vs.						Case	No.	19-2749	ЭE
**,									
	Respor	ndent.			/				

### FINAL ORDER

Pursuant to notice, a final hearing was conducted in Milton, Florida, on , , , before Administrative Law Judge Todd P. Resavage of the Division of Administrative Hearings.

#### APPEARANCES

For Petitioner: , Esquire Sniffen & Spellman, P.A. 123 North Monroe Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301

For Respondent: No appearance

#### STATEMENT OF THE ISSUE

Whether the language and psychoeducational evaluations conducted by Petitioner on behalf of Respondent were appropriate and complied with Florida Administrative Code Rule 6A-6.0331(5).

#### PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

On \_\_\_\_\_, Petitioner filed a Due Process Complaint (Complaint) that sought a determination of the appropriateness of

its language and psychoeducational evaluations conducted of Respondent. Petitioner's Complaint was necessitated by its decision to deny the request of Respondent's parents to provide an independent educational evaluation (IEE), with respect to both evaluations, at public expense.

The matter was initially assigned to Administrative Law

Judge (ALJ) Diane Cleavinger. ALJ Cleavinger, after conducting

two telephonic conferences, scheduled the final hearing for

, On , ALJ Cleavinger granted

Respondent's Request for Extension, and ordered the parties to

file a written joint notice of availability by

On \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_, Petitioner herein filed a Motion to Consolidate this matter with DOAH Case No. 19-0640E, which was pending before the undersigned. Respondent did not respond to the motion. On \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_, the motion was granted, and the cases were consolidated before the undersigned. The Order of Consolidation advised the parties that the final hearing for the consolidated cases would proceed as scheduled in DOAH Case

No. 19-0640E (the lower case number) on

The final hearing was conducted, as scheduled, on

Despite proper notice, Respondent did not

appear at the final hearing. Upon the conclusion of the final

hearing, Petitioner stipulated that its proposed final order

would be filed within 10 days of the filing of the transcript and

that the undersigned's Final Order would issue within 20 days of

the filing of the transcript.

The final hearing Transcript was filed on \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_.

The identity of the witnesses and exhibits and rulings regarding each are as set forth in the Transcript. Petitioner filed a timely Proposed Final Order, which has been considered in this Final Order.

Unless otherwise indicated, all rule and statutory references are to the versions in effect at the time Petitioner performed the evaluations at issue. For stylistic convenience, the undersigned will use pronouns in this Final Order when referring to Respondent. The pronouns are neither intended, nor should be interpreted, as a reference to Respondent's actual gender.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Respondent began the school-year as a grade student at School A, a public school in Petitioner's school district.
- 2. Respondent was experiencing difficulty with vocabulary, reading fluency, and reading comprehension, and, therefore, a meeting was scheduled for , , to determine eligibility for a Section 504 Accommodation Plan. On that date, Respondent was determined eligible and a Section 504 Plan was developed to address needs.
- 3. At the \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_, meeting, the assembled members of the meeting determined that further evaluations were necessary to evaluate Respondent's education needs and determine if \_\_\_\_ was a student with a disability under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA).
- 4. Accordingly, on \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_, Petitioner obtained parental consent to conduct a language evaluation (to assess communication skills and language ability) and a psychoeducational evaluation (to assess intellectual, academic, perceptual, behavior or language skills).

certificate of clinical competence (CCC) from the American Speech
Hearing Association. Over 12 years as an SLP,
has conducted over 2000 language evaluations.

- The language evaluation consisted of reviewing medical, developmental, and social histories; reviewing clinical observations; reviewing teacher concerns/observations; considering parental concerns; and the administration of a standardized assessment, the of ). The assesses oral and written language skills of school-age students ages years. It is a standardized, norm-referenced test validated for three purposes: identifying documenting patterns of relative strengths and weaknesses, and tracking changes in language and literacy over time. includes 15 subtests that measure skills at two language levels (sound/word and sentence/discourse) across oral and written language modalities (listening, speaking, reading, and writing).
- 7. language evaluation report was completed on provided credible and unrefuted testimony that language evaluation and the administration of the complied in all material aspects with rule 6A-6.0331(5).

psychoeducational evaluation. has been employed by Respondent for 20 years and is certified in school psychology by the Florida Department of Education. has conducted approximately 114 psychoeducational evaluations per year over the span of career.

- The psychoeducational evaluation consisted of reviewing pre-evaluation documentation including social, medical, and developmental history provided by Respondent's parents; a review of teacher observations and their respective narratives; the administration of several standardized assessments; behavioral observations during the administration of said assessments; and the review of prior data collected at School A. With respect to the standardized assessments, administered the , the , the , and the Second Edition.
- completed evaluations on , and, on , finalized evaluation report. provided credible and unrefuted testimony that psychoeducational evaluation and the administration of the abovenoted assessments complied in all material aspects with rule 6A-6.0331(5).

10.

11. On \_\_\_\_\_, Respondent's parents notified Petitioner that they did not agree with the language or psychoeducational evaluations and requested IEEs.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 12. The Division of Administrative Hearings has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this proceeding and of the parties pursuant to section 1003.57(1)(b), Florida Statutes, and rule 6A-6.03312(7).
- 13. District school boards are required by the Florida K-20 Education Code to provide for "appropriate program of special instruction, facilities, and services for exceptional students [ESE] as prescribed by the State Board of Education as acceptable." §§ 1001.42(4)(1) & 1003.57, Fla. Stat.
- 14. The Florida K-20 Education Code's imposition of the requirement that exceptional students receive special education and related services is necessary in order for the State of Florida to be eligible to receive federal funding under the IDEA, which mandates, among other things, that participating states ensure, with limited exceptions, that a "free appropriate public education is available to all children with disabilities residing in the State between the ages of 3 and 21." 20 U.S.C. § 1412(a)(1)(A); Phillip C. v. Jefferson Cnty. Bd. of Educ., 701 F.3d 691, 694 (11th Cir. 2012); see also J.P. v. Cnty. Sch. Bd of Hanover Cnty., Va., 516 F.3d 254, 257 (4th Cir. 2008)("Under the

IDEA, all states receiving federal funds for education must provide disabled school children with a 'free appropriate public education.'").

- 15. The IDEA contains "an affirmative obligation of every [local] public school system to identify students who might be disabled and evaluate those students to determine whether they are indeed eligible." L.C. V. Tuscaloosa Cnty. Bd. of Educ., 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 52059 at \*12 (N.D. Ala. 2016) quoting N.G. v. D.C., 556 F. Supp. 2d 11, 16 (D.D.C. 2008)(citing 20 U.S.C. \$ 1412(a)(3)(A)). This obligation is referred to as "Child Find," and a local school system's "[f]ailure to locate and evaluate a potentially disabled child constitutes a denial of FAPE." Id. Thus, each state must put policies and procedures in place to ensure that all children with disabilities residing in the state, regardless of the severity of their disability, and who need special education and related services, are identified, located, and evaluated. 34 C.F.R. § 300.111(a).
- 16. Rule 6A-6.0331 sets forth the school district's responsibilities regarding students suspected of having a disability. Rule 6A-6.0331(2)(a) sets forth a non-exhaustive set of circumstances, which would indicate to a school district that a student may be a student with a disability who needs special education and related services. Once a request for an initial evaluation has been made (by either the parents or the school

district), the school district is mandated to obtain consent for the evaluation or provide the parent with a written notice of refusal. Fla. Admin. Code R. 6A-6.0331(3)(c). After receiving consent, the school district must complete the initial evaluation within 60 calendar days. Fla. Admin. Code R. 6A-6.0331(3)(g).

- 17. Rule 6A-6.0331(3)(e) sets forth the requisite qualifications of those conducting the necessary evaluations and rule 6A-6.0331(5) sets forth the procedures for conducting the evaluations. In conducting the evaluation, the school district "must not use any single measure or assessment as the sole criterion for determining whether a student is eligible for ESE." Fla. Admin. Code R. 6A-6.0331(5)(a)2. To the contrary, the school district "must use a variety of assessment tools and strategies to gather relevant functional, developmental, and academic information about the student." Fla. Admin. Code R. 6A-6.0331(5)(a). The student shall be assessed in "all areas related to a suspected disability" and an evaluation "shall be sufficiently comprehensive to identify all of a student's ESE needs, whether or not commonly linked to the suspected disability." Fla. Admin. Code R. 6A-6.0331(5)(f) & (g).
- 18. Under the IDEA and its implementing regulations, a parent of a child with a disability is entitled, under certain circumstances, to obtain an IEE of the child at the public's

expense. <u>See</u> 34 C.F.R. § 300.502(b); Fla. Admin. Code R. 6A-6.03311(6).<sup>2</sup>/

- 19. Petitioner here, when confronted with the request for an IEE, denied the request and opted to timely initiate a due process hearing to demonstrate, by a preponderance of the evidence, that its own evaluation was appropriate. If Petitioner is able to meet its burden and establish the appropriateness of its evaluation, it is relieved of any obligation to provide the requested IEE.
- 20. To meet its burden of proof, Petitioner must demonstrate that the language and psychoeducational evaluations complied with rule 6A-6.0331(5), which provides as follows:

Evaluation procedures.

- (a) In conducting an evaluation, the school district:
- 1. Must use a variety of assessment tools and strategies to gather relevant functional, developmental, and academic information about the student, including information provided by the parent, that may assist in determining whether the student is eligible for ESE and the content of the student's IEP or EP, including information related to enabling the student with a disability to be involved in and progress in the general curriculum (or for a preschool child, to participate in appropriate activities), or for a gifted student's needs beyond the general curriculum;
- 2. Must not use any single measure or assessment as the sole criterion for determining whether a student is eligible for

ESE and for determining an appropriate educational program for the student; and 3. Must use technically sound instruments that may assess the relative contribution of cognitive and behavioral factors, in addition to physical or developmental factors.

- (b) Each school district must ensure that assessments and other evaluation materials used to assess a student are:
- 1. Selected and administered so as not to be discriminatory on a racial or cultural basis;
- 2. Provided and administered in the student's native language or other mode of communication and in the form most likely to yield accurate information on what the student knows and can do academically, developmentally, and functionally, unless it is clearly not feasible to do so;
- 3. Used for the purposes for which the assessments or measures are valid and reliable; and
- 4. Administered by trained and knowledgeable personnel in accordance with any instructions provided by the producer of the assessments.
- (c) Assessments and other evaluation materials shall include those tailored to assess specific areas of educational need and not merely those that are designed to provide a single general intelligence quotient.
- (d) Assessments shall be selected and administered so as to best ensure that if an assessment is administered to a student with impaired sensory, manual, or speaking skills, the assessment results accurately reflect the student's aptitude or achievement level or whatever other factors the test purports to measure, rather than reflecting the student's sensory, manual, or speaking skills, unless those are the factors the test purports to measure.

- (e) The school district shall use assessment tools and strategies that provide relevant information that directly assists persons in determining the educational needs of the student.
- (f) A student shall be assessed in all areas related to a suspected disability, including, if appropriate, health, vision, hearing, social and emotional status, general intelligence, academic performance, communicative status, and motor abilities.
- (g) An evaluation shall be sufficiently comprehensive to identify all of a student's ESE needs, whether or not commonly linked to the disability category in which the student is classified.
- 21. Pursuant to the above Findings of Fact, Petitioner has demonstrated that and were trained, knowledgeable, and appropriately qualified to conduct their evaluations. Petitioner further demonstrated that the language and psychoeducational evaluations conducted on behalf of Respondent complied with rule 6A-6.0331(5), and, therefore, Petitioner has met its burden of proving that the evaluations were appropriate.

#### ORDER

Based on the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of
Law, it is ORDERED that Petitioner's language and
psychoeducational evaluations were appropriate.

DONE AND ORDERED this day of \_\_\_\_, in

Tallahassee, Leon County, Florida.

## S

TODD P. RESAVAGE
Administrative Law Judge
Division of Administrative Hearings
The DeSoto Building
1230 Apalachee Parkway
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3060
(850) 488-9675
Fax Filing (850) 921-6847
www.doah.state.fl.us

Filed with the Clerk of the Division of Administrative Hearings this 19th day of December, 2019.

#### ENDNOTES

- The available record is unclear as to when and by whom the request or recommendation to evaluate was made.
- At the time of Respondent's IEE request, had not been determined eligible as a student with a disability under the IDEA; however, once the evaluation process began, was entitled to certain procedural safeguards, including the ability to challenge the evaluative process.

#### COPIES FURNISHED:

, Esquire Sniffen & Spellman, P.A. 123 North Monroe Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (eServed)

Respondent (Address of Record-eServed)

Dispute Resolution Program Dir Bureau of Exceptional Education and Student Services Department of Education
325 West Gaines Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0400
(eServed)

, Superintendent Shanta Rosa County School Board 5086 Canal Street Milton, Florida 32570-6726

, General Counsel
Department of Education
Turlington Building, Suite 1244
325 West Gaine4s Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0400
(eServed)

#### NOTICE OF RIGHT TO JUDICIAL REVIEW

This decision is final unless, within 90 days after the date of this decision, an adversely affected party:

- a) brings a civil action in the appropriate state circuit court pursuant to section 1003.57(1)(c), Florida Statutes (2014), and Florida Administrative Code Rule 6A-6.03311(9)(w); or
- b) brings a civil action in the appropriate district court of the United States pursuant to 20 U.S.C. § 1415(i)(2), 34 C.F.R. § 300.516, and Florida Administrative Code Rule 6A-6.03311(9)(w).