

Bid 3436

INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS ADMINISTRATOR

Recommendation

Yes

Comments: I would recommend this tool as a Physics 1 honors course for 9th grade students that are taking the course online and here is why:

Pros:

The tool is an excellent interactive learning tool for classrooms whose students have to interact at a distance.

The material should be engaging to the 9th grade demographic and matches well with the background obtained from 8th grade Physical Science.

Cons:

The material is not particularly geared for brick-and-mortar classroom discussion or presentations.

Personally, I would not adopt this material for 11th and 12th graders, especially those that will be pursuing STEM careers. The material is mostly DOK level 1. There are very few instances of higher order challenges yet the scaffolding provides enough hints so that DOK level 3 or 4 is not reached.

Some DOK level 3 activities are suggested through lesson plans, but it is up to the teacher to implement them successfully, the material only provides basic guidelines on how to accomplish them.

Material for Review

Course: Physics 1 Honors (2003390)

Title: First Person Physics , Edition: 2018

Copyright: 2018

Author: Glen Brooks, PhD; Eric Halsey, PhD; Joe Rosen, PhD; Rich Louie, PhD; Bruce Jacobsen, MBA

Grade Level: 9 - 12

Content

Answer each item below and select the "Save" button to save your responses. You must select the "Save" button before going to another section or leaving this page to save the answers you have provided. If you are unable to complete the section, you may save your answers and come back to complete at a later time. All items must be answered for a section to be considered complete.

To answer each item, select the appropriate rating.

Answer each item below and select the "Save" button to save your responses. You must select the "Save" button before going to another section or leaving this page to save the answers you have provided. If you are unable to complete the section, you may save your answers and come back to complete at a later time. All items must be answered for a section to be considered complete.

To answer each item, select the appropriate rating from the following scale:

- 5 - VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT
- 4 - GOOD ALIGNMENT
- 3 - FAIR ALIGNMENT
- 2 - POOR ALIGNMENT
- 1 - VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Upon completion of all Areas of Review, the Recommendation link will become available with a record of how you scored each section of the evaluation.

- Reviewers are instructed that submissions should be consistently rated as 5 or 4 to be recommended for adoption. Materials that are consistently rated 2 or 1 are not expected to be recommended for adoption.
- Justification and Comments are strongly encouraged for each rating. Please use the Justification/Comments section to list any strengths, weaknesses, concerns, issues, and/or to provide examples supporting the rating. Your comments maybe used by publishers to help them improve their products
- Additional information regarding the Content, Presentation, and Learning requirements are located in the Science K-12 Specifications for the 2017-18 Florida State Adoption of Instructional Materials.

Each set of materials submitted for adoption is evaluated based on each benchmark for that course and the Content, Presentation, and Learning items included in this rubric.

A. Alignment with curriculum1. A. The content aligns with the state's standards and benchmarks for subject, grade level and learning outcomes.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT **GOOD ALIGNMENT** FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

The course covers all Physics 1 Honors FLDOE standards, however I have reservations on target grade level and learning outcomes. The course feels like an 8th grade or 9th grade level not an 11th and 12th grade level. Content is too basic. Physics course and most standards are covered at DOK level 1 rather than DOK level 2 and 3 that is expected of them. Lesson plans cover learning outcomes rather well but miss the DOK level required of most standards.

2. A. The content is written to the correct skill level of the standards and benchmarks in the course.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT **FAIR ALIGNMENT** POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

The course feels like an 8th grade or 9th grade level not an 11th and 12th grade level. Content is too basic. Physics course and most standards are covered at DOK level 1 rather than DOK level 2 and 3 that is expected of them. Some Lesson plans do have higher level assignments from the student but the burden to help the student is on the teacher not on the material presented in this course.

3. A. The materials are adaptable and useful for classroom instruction.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT **FAIR ALIGNMENT** POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lesson plans have very good links to content material so it is easy to navigate. However, this has the feel of a course geared more towards individual and not classroom instruction. For example, videos do not have a maximize button which would make it difficult to project a large image in the classroom.

B. Level of Treatment4. B. The materials provide sufficient details for students to understand the significance of topics and events.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT **GOOD ALIGNMENT** FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

There is sufficient material to understand the significance of topics and events, but just barely.

5. B. The level (complexity or difficulty) of the treatment of content matches the standards.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT **POOR ALIGNMENT** VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Material is presented at DOK level 1 for the most part while standards are mostly DOK level 2 and DOK level 3. Mathematical formulas, in particular, are dealt with at a DOK level 1 with plugging numbers or visually playing with relationships assignments. There are very few areas where the student is challenged at a higher DOK level than 1. This is more a course geared towards 9th graders (in my opinion) than juniors and seniors preparing for engineering or science fields in college.

6. B. The level (complexity or difficulty) of the treatment of content matches the student abilities and grade level.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT **FAIR ALIGNMENT** POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

If the target audience is 8th or 9th graders then it is a very good alignment. However, this is a High School Physics Honors course that should be geared towards 11th and 12th graders and I feel the course is too simple for them.

7. B. The level (complexity or difficulty) of the treatment of content matches the time period allowed for teaching.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lesson plans are well developed and matching content is engaging and easy to follow.

C. Expertise for Content Development8. C. The primary and secondary sources cited in the materials reflect expert information for the subject.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

The material is well covered and was compiled by people knowledgeable in the field. In the interest of compressing information as much as possible some student misconceptions may arise. For example, dark matter and black holes are both mentioned as not being able to emit light. Students might be led to believe that there is a direct relationship between dark matter and black holes by the way the material is presented.

9. C. The primary and secondary sources contribute to the quality of the content in the materials.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Presentations, materials and references are limited but well done.

D. Accuracy of Content10. D. The content is presented accurately. (Material should be devoid of typographical or visual errors).

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

I was unable to find any mistakes. The presentation is consistent throughout which helps the student focus on content easily.

11. D. The content of the material is presented objectively. (Material should be free of bias and contradictions and is noninflammatory in nature).

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lesson plans and materials are very well developed and encourage understanding of the material presented at the level presented. Even the handling of controversial subjects like the atomic bomb was handled in a positive way.

12. D. The content of the material is representative of the discipline? (Material should include prevailing theories, concepts, standards, and models used with the subject area).

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Material presented represents the state of the theory at the time it was written.

13. D. The content of the material is factual accurate. (Materials should be free of mistakes and inconsistencies).

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

I was unable to find inconsistencies.

E. Currency of Content 14. E. The content is up-to-date according to current research and standards of practice.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Material presented represents the state of the theory at the time it was written. I wish more content would have been provided.

15. E. The content is presented to the curriculum, standards, and benchmarks in an appropriate and relevant context.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

16. E. The content is presented in an appropriate and relevant context for the intended learners.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

I just have to make the observation that, for me, the intended audience is 9th graders and not juniors and seniors that are being prepped for STEM careers.

F. Authenticity of Content 17. F. The content includes connections to life in a context that is meaningful to students.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

The publisher has worked hard to make the material engaging to the student incorporating themes and tools that the student should find meaningful.

18. F. The material includes interdisciplinary connections which are intended to make the content meaningful to students.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT **GOOD ALIGNMENT** FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

There is some evidence, especially with the excellent treatment of historical scientific figures that have contributed to science. In my opinion, it falls short linking multiple scientific disciplines to other scientific disciplines in a way that students that love those other disciplines would find Physics meaningful to them.

G. Multicultural Representation 19. G. The portrayal of gender, ethnicity, age, work situations, cultural, religious, physical, and various social groups are fair and unbiased. (Please explain any unfair or biased portrayals in the comments section).

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Excellent treatment of historical figures of diverse backgrounds and their impact on science. They are presented in a positive way that embraces diversity.

H. Humanity and Compassion 20. H. The materials portray people and animals with compassion, sympathy, and consideration of their needs and values and exclude hard-core pornography and inhumane treatment. (An exception may be necessary for units covering animal welfare).

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

No evidence was found to the contrary.

21. In general, is the content of the benchmarks and standards for this course covered in the material.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT **GOOD ALIGNMENT** FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

This is a complete Physics 1 Honors course. In my opinion, it falls short as a course intended for juniors and seniors that we are trying to motivate or prepare for STEM college readiness. I would, however, use this course for 8th and 9th graders to stimulate them in Physics.

Presentation

Answer each item below and select the "Save" button to save your responses. You must select the "Save" button before going to another section or leaving this page to save the answers you have provided. If you are unable to complete the section, you may save your answers and come back to complete at a later time. All items must be answered for a section to be considered complete.

To answer each item, select the appropriate rating.

Answer each item below and select the "Save" button to save your responses. You must select the "Save" button before going to another section or leaving this page to save the answers you have provided. If you are unable to complete the section, you may save your answers and come back to complete at a later time. All items must be answered for a section to be considered complete.

To answer each item, select the appropriate rating from the following scale:

- 5 - VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT
- 4 - GOOD ALIGNMENT
- 3 - FAIR ALIGNMENT
- 2 - POOR ALIGNMENT
- 1 - VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Upon completion of all Areas of Review, the Recommendation link will become available with a record of how you scored each section of the evaluation.

- Reviewers are instructed that submissions should be consistently rated as 5 or 4 to be recommended for adoption. Materials that are consistently rated 2 or 1 are not expected to be recommended for adoption.
- Justification and Comments are strongly encouraged for each rating. Please use the Justification/Comments section to list any strengths, weaknesses, concerns, issues, and/or to provide examples supporting the rating. Your comments maybe used by publishers to help them improve their products
- Additional information regarding the Content, Presentation, and Learning requirements are located in the Science K-12 Specifications for the 2017-18 Florida State Adoption of Instructional Materials.

Each set of materials submitted for adoption is evaluated based on each benchmark for that course and the Content, Presentation, and Learning items included in this rubric.

A. Comprehensiveness of Student and Teacher Resources1. A. The comprehensiveness of the student resources address the targeted learning outcomes without requiring the teacher to prepare additional teaching materials for the course.

- VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

For the targeted learning outcomes (enduring understandings) that are in the material lesson plans the teacher does not need to prepare additional material. The teacher does have to prepare review materials and higher level activities but the student can comprehend the material at a DOK level 1 with the resources provided.

B. Alignment of Instructional Components2. B. All components of the major tool align with the curriculum and each other.

- VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Student navigation of the material is logical and consistent. All the components align seamlessly and those that don't are placed separately in the Appendixes but still within easy reach for the student.

C. Organization of Instructional Materials3. C. The materials are consistent and logical organization of the content for the subject area.

- VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Course progression is logical and consistent with the subject material. The organization of the material ensures student success.

D. Readability of Instructional Materials4. D. Narrative and visuals engage students in reading or listening as well as in understanding of the content at a level appropriate to the students' abilities.

- VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Short texts and videos, engaging virtual labs broken up into digestible pieces make the material highly engaging and easy for students of all types to maintain focus. In my opinion, this would be an excellent course material for 9th grader abilities but could be more demanding of 11th and 12th graders.

E. Pacing of Content5. E. The amount of content presented at one time or the pace at which it is presented must be of a size or rate that allows students to perceive and understand it.

- VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT** GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Texts and videos are short and to the point. Virtual labs and activities develop naturally and with sufficient scaffolding to ensure student success.

Accessibility6. The material contains presentation, navigation, study tool and assistive supports that aid students, including those with disabilities, to access and interact with the material. (For assistance refer to the answers on the UDL questionnaire).

- VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT**

GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

There are multiple means of representation, action (virtual), and engagement. I was not able to test Text-to-speech that the Publisher claims is supported. Braille is not supported.

7. In general, how well does the submission satisfy PRESENTATION requirements? (The comments should support your responses to the questions in the Presentation section).

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Navigation (the UI in general) is very useful friendly. Some bugs, like the search button not working if a search returns no result, but overall presentation is excellent and highly responsive. The look and feel is consistent throughout.

Learning

Answer each item below and select the "Save" button to save your responses. You must select the "Save" button before going to another section or leaving this page to save the answers you have provided. If you are unable to complete the section, you may save your answers and come back to complete at a later time. All items must be answered for a section to be considered complete.

To answer each item, select the appropriate rating.

Answer each item below and select the "Save" button to save your responses. You must select the "Save" button before going to another section or leaving this page to save the answers you have provided. If you are unable to complete the section, you may save your answers and come back to complete at a later time. All items must be answered for a section to be considered complete.

To answer each item, select the appropriate rating from the following scale:

5 - VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT
4 - GOOD ALIGNMENT
3 - FAIR ALIGNMENT
2 - POOR ALIGNMENT
1 - VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Upon completion of all Areas of Review, the Recommendation link will become available with a record of how you scored each section of the evaluation.

- Reviewers are instructed that submissions should be consistently rated as 5 or 4 to be recommended for adoption. Materials that are consistently rated 2 or 1 are not expected to be recommended for adoption.
- Justification and Comments are strongly encouraged for each rating. Please use the Justification/Comments section to list any strengths, weaknesses, concerns, issues, and/or to provide examples supporting the rating. Your comments maybe used by publishers to help them improve their products
- Additional information regarding the Content, Presentation, and Learning requirements are located in the Science K-12 Specifications for the 2017-18 Florida State Adoption of Instructional Materials.

Each set of materials submitted for adoption is evaluated based on each benchmark for that course and the Content, Presentation, and Learning items included in this rubric.

A. Motivational Strategies1. A. Instructional materials include features to maintain learner motivation.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lesson plans, Virtual Labs, and textbook is easy to navigate, informative, and engaging throughout.

B. Teaching a Few "Big Ideas"2. B. Instructional materials thoroughly teach a few important ideas, concepts, or themes.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Some Big Ideas (like waves in Chapter 13 for example) are properly introduced at a level that is sure to engage students and with few chances for misconceptions or misunderstandings to occur.

C. Explicit Instruction3. C. The materials contain clear statements of information and outcomes.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lesson plans are very clear on essential questions and enduring understanding expected.

D. Guidance and Support4. D. The materials provide guidance and support to help students safely and successfully become more independent learners and thinkers.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Students can navigate easily through the course and find independent answers to their questions easily.

5. D. Guidance and support must be adaptable to developmental differences and various learning styles.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT **GOOD ALIGNMENT** FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Accommodations are met by presenting material via text and visually. Publishers claim that text-to-speech tools work perfectly well with their software but I was unable to test this feature.

E. Active Participation of Students6. E. The materials engage the physical and mental activity of students during the learning process.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

The publisher has done an excellent job in presenting the material in short segments (texts and videos) with clear lesson goal expectations.

7. E. Rate how well the materials include organized activities that are logical extensions of content, goals, and objectives.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lesson goals flow well with virtual labs and the presentation of the materials.

F. Targeted Instructional Strategies8. F. Instructional materials include the strategies known to be successful for teaching the learning outcomes targeted in the curriculum requirements.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT **FAIR ALIGNMENT** POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Most material is at DOK level 1 while learning outcomes are mostly at DOK level 2 or 3. Very few strategies, to help overcome this limitation, are offered to the teacher.

9. F. The instructional strategies incorporated in the materials are effective in teaching the targeted outcomes.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT **GOOD ALIGNMENT** FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Most material, including virtual labs are at DOK level 2 or below. They are very engaging, but, as a strategy, are limited helping the student reach the DOK level 3 required.

G. Targeted Assessment Strategies10. G. The materials correlate assessment strategies to the desired learning outcomes.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT **GOOD ALIGNMENT** FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Easy to develop assessments to match the desired learning outcomes. The burden of higher DOK level assessments, however, falls on the teacher and not on the materials presented.

11. G. the assessment strategies incorporated in the materials are effective in assessing the learners' performance with regard to the targeted outcomes.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

The teacher is presented with well developed tools to help assess students. For DOK level 1, students assessment strategy is highly engaging, supports student success, and measures mastery properly.

Universal Design for Learning12. This submission incorporates strategies, materials, activities, etc., that consider the needs of all students.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Material is geared for independent student success at DOK level 1. It considers the needs of all students by repetitively presenting materials in a way that stimulates multiple intelligence. My only concern is that this is done at DOK level 1 and not at DOK levels 2 and 3.

Mathematical Practice13. Do you observe the appropriate application of Mathematical Practices (MP) as applicable?

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT **GOOD ALIGNMENT** FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

There is strong emphasis of the NCTM process but at DOK level 1. However, higher dimensional analysis and problem solving skills are poorly represented in the material.

14. In general, does the submission satisfy LEARNING requirements? (The comments should support your responses to the questions in the Learning section.)

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

This is well thought course at a DOK level 1 for 9th graders. The material presented provides the student with many learning opportunities that are concise and to the point. Some virtual labs and activities use gimmicks to capture students attention but they still support the learning objective. My only objection is that the submission falls short helping master the material at the DOK level required by the standards (i.e. juniors and seniors that will be moving on to STEM careers in college).

Standards

Answer each item below and select the "Save" button to save your responses. You must select the "Save" button before going to another section or leaving this page to save the answers you have provided. If you are unable to complete the section, you may save your answers and come back to complete at a later time. All items must be answered for a section to be considered complete.

To answer each item, select the appropriate rating.

Answer each item below and select the "Save" button to save your responses. You must select the "Save" button before going to another section or leaving this page to save the answers you have provided. If you are unable to complete the section, you may save your answers and come back to complete at a later time. All items must be answered for a section to be considered complete.

To answer each item, select the appropriate rating from the following scale:

- 5 - VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT
- 4 - GOOD ALIGNMENT
- 3 - FAIR ALIGNMENT
- 2 - POOR ALIGNMENT
- 1 - VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Upon completion of all Areas of Review, the Recommendation link will become available with a record of how you scored each section of the evaluation.

- Reviewers are instructed that submissions should be consistently rated as 5 or 4 to be recommended for adoption. Materials that are consistently rated 2 or 1 are not expected to be recommended for adoption.
- Justification and Comments are strongly encouraged for each rating. Please use the Justification/Comments section to list any strengths, weaknesses, concerns, issues, and/or to provide examples supporting the rating. Your comments maybe used by publishers to help them improve their products
- Additional information regarding the Content, Presentation, and Learning requirements are located in the Science K-12 Specifications for the 2017-18 Florida State Adoption of Instructional Materials.

When looking at standards alignment reviewers should consider not only the robustness of the standard coverage but also the content complexity (depth of knowledge level) if appropriate. More information on content complexity as it relates to Florida standards can be found at:

http://www.cpalms.org/Uploads/docs/CPALMS/initiatives/contentcomplexity/CPALMS_ccdefinitions_140711.pdf

For example, if the standard is marked as a level 3 (strategic reasoning and complex thinking) then the materials coverage should reflect this. If the materials coverage is only sufficient to allow for recall (level 1) then this should be reflected in the points assigned.

1. **SC.912.E.5.2:** Identify patterns in the organization and distribution of matter in the universe and the forces that determine them.

Remarks/Examples:

Identify patterns that influence the formation, heirarchy, and motions of the various kinds of objects in the solar system and the role of gravity and inertia on these motions (include the Sun, Earth, and Moon, planets, satellites, comets, asteroids, star clusters, galaxies, galaxy clusters). Recognize that the universe contains many billions of galaxies, and each galaxy contains many billions of stars. Recognize that constellations are contrived associations of stars that do not reflect functional relationships in space.

Florida Standards Connections: MAFS.K12.MP.7: Look for and make use of structure.

- VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT **POOR ALIGNMENT** VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

With the exception of Kepler's third law 8.5.3 (which by the way, was not were the publisher suggested this benchmark was addressed) that addresses orbital periods and distance to the sun, none of the suggested lessons had any significant information supporting this benchmark. There are many missed opportunities in the lessons provided by the publisher that simply fail to mention distribution of matter in the universe. The way it is written students might get confused between dark matter and black holes.

2. **SC.912.E.5.6:** Develop logical connections through physical principles, including Kepler's and Newton's Laws about the relationships and the effects of Earth, Moon, and Sun on each other.

Remarks/Examples:

Explain that Kepler's laws determine the orbits of objects in the solar system and recognize that Kepler's laws are a direct consequence of Newton's Law of Universal Gravitation and Laws of Motion.

- VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT **FAIR ALIGNMENT** POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Kepler's Laws and Newton's laws are stated and presented but they fail to make the connection that Kepler's laws are a direct consequence of Newton's Laws.

3. **SC.912.E.5.8:** Connect the concepts of radiation and the electromagnetic spectrum to the use of historical and newly-developed observational tools.

Remarks/Examples:

Describe how frequency is related to the characteristics of electromagnetic radiation and recognize how spectroscopy is used to detect and interpret information from electromagnetic radiation sources.

- VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT **FAIR ALIGNMENT** POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Relationship between wave properties and electromagnetic radiation well established however spectroscopy does not appear in the text. Some historical tools mentioned, however most do not.

4. **SC.912.L.18.12:** Discuss the special properties of water that contribute to Earth's suitability as an environment for life: cohesive behavior, ability to moderate temperature, expansion upon freezing, and versatility as a solvent.

Remarks/Examples:

Annually assessed on Biology EOC.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT **FAIR ALIGNMENT** POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Mentions the physical properties of water but fails to make the connection on how they contribute to Earth's suitability for life. There is a casual mention as water being crucial to life (15.2.1.d), but, it misses the mark. Cohesion and adhesion are not included in the text.

5. **SC.912.N.1.1:** Define a problem based on a specific body of knowledge, for example: biology, chemistry, physics, and earth/space science, and do the following:

1. Pose questions about the natural world, (Articulate the purpose of the investigation and identify the relevant scientific concepts).
2. Conduct systematic observations, (Write procedures that are clear and replicable. Identify observables and examine relationships between test (independent) variable and outcome (dependent) variable. Employ appropriate methods for accurate and consistent observations; conduct and record measurements at appropriate levels of precision. Follow safety guidelines).
3. Examine books and other sources of information to see what is already known,
4. Review what is known in light of empirical evidence, (Examine whether available empirical evidence can be interpreted in terms of existing knowledge and models, and if not, modify or develop new models).
5. Plan investigations, (Design and evaluate a scientific investigation).
6. Use tools to gather, analyze, and interpret data (this includes the use of measurement in metric and other systems, and also the generation and interpretation of graphical representations of data, including data tables and graphs), (Collect data or evidence in an organized way. Properly use instruments, equipment, and materials (e.g., scales, probeware, meter sticks, microscopes, computers) including set-up, calibration, technique, maintenance, and storage).
7. Pose answers, explanations, or descriptions of events,
8. Generate explanations that explicate or describe natural phenomena (inferences),
9. Use appropriate evidence and reasoning to justify these explanations to others,
10. Communicate results of scientific investigations, and
11. Evaluate the merits of the explanations produced by others.

Remarks/Examples:

Florida Standards Connections for 6-12 Literacy in Science

For Students in Grades 9-10

LAFS.910.RST.1.1 Cite specific textual evidence to support analysis of science and technical texts, attending to the precise details of explanations or descriptions.

LAFS.910.RST.1.3 Follow precisely a complex multistep procedure when carrying out experiments, taking measurements, or performing technical tasks attending to special cases or exceptions defined in the text.

LAFS.910.RST.3.7 Translate quantitative or technical information expressed in words in a text into visual form (e.g., a table or chart) and translate information expressed visually or mathematically (e.g., in an equation) into words.

LAFS.910.WHST.1.2 Write informative/explanatory texts, including the narration of historical events, scientific procedures/ experiments, or technical processes.

LAFS.910.WHST.3.9 Draw evidence from informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research.

For Students in Grades 11-12

LAFS.1112.RST.1.1 Cite specific textual evidence to support analysis of science and technical texts, attending to important distinctions the author makes and to any gaps or inconsistencies in the account.

LAFS.1112.RST.1.3 Follow precisely a complex multistep procedure when carrying out experiments, taking measurements, or performing technical tasks analyze the specific results based on explanations in the text.

LAFS.1112.RST.3.7 Integrate and evaluate multiple sources of information presented in diverse formats and media (e.g., quantitative data, video, multimedia) in order to address a question or solve a problem.

LAFS.1112.WHST.1.2 Write informative/explanatory texts, including the narration of historical events, scientific procedures/ experiments, or technical processes.

LAFS.1112.WHST.3.9 Draw evidence from informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research.

Florida Standards Connections for Mathematical Practices

MAFS.K12.MP.1: Make sense of problems and persevere in solving them.

MAFS.K12.MP.2: Reason abstractly and quantitatively.

MAFS.K12.MP.3: Construct viable arguments and critique the reasoning of others. [Viable arguments include evidence.]

MAFS.K12.MP.4: Model with mathematics.

MAFS.K12.MP.5: Use appropriate tools strategically.

MAFS.K12.MP.6: Attend to precision.

MAFS.K12.MP.7: Look for and make use of structure.

MAFS.K12.MP.8: Look for and express regularity in repeated reasoning.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT **FAIR ALIGNMENT** POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

The text is primarily informational in its context. The information provided may be digestible by the student, but the wide scope of the standard and the lack of assignments to test students for understanding gives the DOK level 3 standards a fair alignment.

6. **SC.912.N.1.2:** Describe and explain what characterizes science and its methods.

Remarks/Examples:

Science is characterized by empirical observations, testable questions, formation of hypotheses, and experimentation that results in stable and replicable results, logical reasoning, and coherent theoretical constructs.

Florida Standards Connections: MAFS.K12.MP.3: Construct viable arguments and critique the reasoning of others.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT **GOOD ALIGNMENT** FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Excellent presentation but no activities to assess student understanding. It is a DOK level 2 standard presented as a DOK level 1.

7. **SC.912.N.1.5:** Describe and provide examples of how similar investigations conducted in many parts of the world result in the same outcome.

Remarks/Examples:

Recognize that contributions to science can be made and have been made by people from all over the world.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT **GOOD ALIGNMENT** FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

The universality of the scientific method is poorly handled. Peer review is not mentioned at all. There are mentions on contributions from a diverse group of people throughout the text.

8. **SC.912.N.1.6:** Describe how scientific inferences are drawn from scientific observations and provide examples from the content being studied.

Remarks/Examples:

Collect data/evidence and use tables/graphs to draw conclusions and make inferences based on patterns or trends in the data.

Florida Standards Connections: MAFS.K12.MP.1: Make sense of problems and persevere in solving them.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT **GOOD ALIGNMENT** FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

There are examples spread out throughout the text, however, some of the key videos (5.4.b) lack visual features to improve understanding. The presentation is, at best, DOK level 1, while requirements for standard is DOK level 2.

9. **SC.912.N.1.7:** Recognize the role of creativity in constructing scientific questions, methods and explanations.

Remarks/Examples:

Work through difficult problems using creativity, and critical and analytical thinking in problem solving (e.g. convergent versus divergent thinking and creativity in problem solving).

Florida Standards Connections: MAFS.K12.MP.1: Make sense of problems and persevere in solving them and MAFS.K12.MP.2: Reason abstractly and quantitatively.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT **GOOD ALIGNMENT** FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Contributions of scientists throughout the text does convey the importance of the role of creativity. The student, however, is never asked to work through difficult problems.

10. **SC.912.N.2.2:** Identify which questions can be answered through science and which questions are outside the boundaries of scientific investigation, such as questions addressed by other ways of knowing, such as art, philosophy, and religion.

Remarks/Examples:

Identify scientific questions that can be disproved by experimentation/testing. Recognize that pseudoscience is a claim, belief, or practice which is presented as scientific, but does not adhere to strict standards of science (e.g. controlled variables, sample size, replicability, empirical and measurable evidence, and the concept of falsification).

Florida Standards Connections: MAFS.K12.MP.3: Construct viable arguments and critique the reasoning of others.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT **FAIR ALIGNMENT** POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Pseudoscience is mentioned (3.4.1) and a brief mention on the limits (3.4.3a) but this is a DOK level 3 standard and the student is not trained or assessed on proper identification as the standard requests. There are some nice tidbits (lesson 3.4.3.b) but are still short of what is required for DOK level 3.

11. **SC.912.N.2.3:** Identify examples of pseudoscience (such as astrology, phrenology) in society.

Remarks/Examples:

Determine if the phenomenon (event) can be observed, measured, and tested through scientific experimentation.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Pseudoscience (3.4.1) is mentioned along with some examples. This is a DOK level 1 standard.

12. **SC.912.N.2.4:** Explain that scientific knowledge is both durable and robust and open to change. Scientific knowledge can change because it is often examined and re-examined by new investigations and scientific argumentation. Because of these frequent examinations, scientific knowledge becomes stronger, leading to its durability.

Remarks/Examples:

Recognize that ideas with the most durable explanatory power become established theories, but scientific explanations are continually subjected to change in the face of new evidence.

Florida Standards Connections: MAFS.K12.MP.1: Make sense of problems and persevere in solving them MAFS.K12.MP.3: Construct viable arguments and critique the reasoning of others.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT **FAIR ALIGNMENT** POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lessons like 3.4.2.a do a good job explaining this benchmark standard. However, this a DOK level 3 benchmark and the text and videos are, at best, DOK level 1.

13. **SC.912.N.2.5:** Describe instances in which scientists' varied backgrounds, talents, interests, and goals influence the inferences and thus the explanations that they make about observations of natural phenomena and describe that competing interpretations (explanations) of scientists are a strength of science as they are a source of new, testable ideas that have the potential to add new evidence to support one or another of the explanations.

Remarks/Examples:

Recognize that scientific questions, observations, and conclusions may be influenced by the existing state of scientific knowledge, the social and cultural context of the researcher, and the observer's experiences and expectations. Identify possible bias in qualitative and quantitative data analysis.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT **FAIR ALIGNMENT** POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lessons like 3.4.2.a do a good job explaining this benchmark standard. However, this a DOK level 3 benchmark and the text and videos are, at best, DOK level 1.

14. **SC.912.N.3.1:** Explain that a scientific theory is the culmination of many scientific investigations drawing together all the current evidence concerning a substantial range of phenomena; thus, a scientific theory represents the most powerful explanation scientists have to offer.

Remarks/Examples:

Explain that a scientific theory is a well-tested hypothesis supported by a preponderance of empirical evidence.

Florida Standards Connections: MAFS.K12.MP.1: Make sense of problems and persevere in solving them and, MAFS.K12.MP.3: Construct viable arguments and critique the reasoning of others.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT **FAIR ALIGNMENT** POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lessons like 2.2.1.a do a good job explaining this benchmark standard. However, this a DOK level 3 benchmark and the text and videos are, at best, DOK level 1.

15. **SC.912.N.3.2:** Describe the role consensus plays in the historical development of a theory in any one of the disciplines of science.

Remarks/Examples:

Recognize that scientific argument, disagreement, discourse, and discussion create a broader and more accurate understanding of natural processes and events.

Florida Standards Connections: MAFS.K12.MP.3: Construct viable arguments and critique the reasoning of others.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT **GOOD ALIGNMENT** FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lessons like 2.2.1.a do a good job explaining this benchmark standard. However, this a DOK level 2 benchmark and the text and videos are, at best, DOK level 1.

16. **SC.912.N.3.3:** Explain that scientific laws are descriptions of specific relationships under given conditions in nature, but do not offer explanations for those relationships.

Remarks/Examples:

Recognize that a scientific theory provides a broad explanation of many observed phenomena while a scientific law describes how something behaves.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT **GOOD ALIGNMENT** FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lesson 25.1.1 defines law precisely as required. However, this a DOK level 2 benchmark and the text and videos are, at best, DOK level 1.

17. **SC.912.N.3.4:** Recognize that theories do not become laws, nor do laws become theories; theories are well supported explanations and laws are well supported descriptions.

Remarks/Examples:

Recognize that theories do not become laws, theories explain laws. Recognize that not all scientific laws have accompanying explanatory theories.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT **FAIR ALIGNMENT** POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lesson 25.1.1 briefly mentions theories vs laws. Also, this a DOK level 2 benchmark and the text and videos are, at best, DOK level 1.

18. **SC.912.N.3.5:** Describe the function of models in science, and identify the wide range of models used in science.

Remarks/Examples:

Describe how models are used by scientists to explain observations of nature.

Florida Standards Connections: MAFS.K12.MP.4: Model with mathematics.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT **GOOD ALIGNMENT** FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lesson 25.1.1 describe models precisely as required. However, this a DOK level 2 benchmark and the text and videos are, at best, DOK level 1.

19. **SC.912.N.4.1:** Explain how scientific knowledge and reasoning provide an empirically-based perspective to inform society's decision making.

Remarks/Examples:

Recognize that no single universal step-by-step scientific method captures the complexity of doing science. A number of shared values and perspectives characterize a scientific approach.

MAFS.K12.MP.1: Make sense of problems and persevere in solving them, and MAFS.K12.MP.2: Reason abstractly and quantitatively.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT **GOOD ALIGNMENT** FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lessons 3.4.3.b and 3.4.4.a present a good discussion but the scientific method is not mentioned as a foundation explicitly. Could not find a deeper understanding of the relationship scientific method - empirical observations - and society's decision making.

20. **SC.912.P.8.1:** Differentiate among the four states of matter.

Remarks/Examples:

Differentiate among the four states of matter (solid, liquid, gas and plasma) in terms of energy, particle motion, and phase transitions. (Note: Currently five states of matter have been identified.)

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT **GOOD ALIGNMENT** FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lesson 11.1.2 describes the four states of matter. However, this a DOK level 2 benchmark and the text and videos are, at best, DOK level 1.

21. **SC.912.P.8.3:** Explore the scientific theory of atoms (also known as atomic theory) by describing changes in the atomic model over time and why those changes were necessitated by experimental evidence.

Remarks/Examples:

Describe the development and historical importance of atomic theory from Dalton (atomic theory), Thomson (the electron), Rutherford (the nucleus and "gold foil" experiment), and Bohr (planetary model of atom), and understand how each discovery leads to modern atomic theory.

Florida Standards Connections: MAFS.K12.MP.4: Model with mathematics.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT **FAIR ALIGNMENT** POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lessons on Chapter 22 cover the standard in some depth. However, the material (gold foil video, for example) is way to limited to help the student reach DOK level 3.

22. **SC.912.P.8.4:** Explore the scientific theory of atoms (also known as atomic theory) by describing the structure of atoms in terms of protons, neutrons and electrons, and differentiate among these particles in terms of their mass, electrical charges and locations within the atom.

Remarks/Examples:

Explain that electrons, protons and neutrons are parts of the atom and that the nuclei of atoms are composed of protons and neutrons, which experience forces of attraction and repulsion consistent with their charges and masses.

Florida Standards Connections: MAFS.K12.MP.4: Model with mathematics.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT **FAIR ALIGNMENT** POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lessons on Chapter 22 cover the standard. However, the standard has a DOK level 3 requirement and the material is, at best DOK level 1.

23. **SC.912.P.10.1:** Differentiate among the various forms of energy and recognize that they can be transformed from one form to others.

Remarks/Examples:

Differentiate between kinetic and potential energy. Recognize that energy cannot be created or destroyed, only transformed. Identify examples of transformation of energy: Heat to light in incandescent electric light bulbs Light to heat in laser drills Electrical to sound in radios Sound to electrical in microphones Electrical to chemical in battery rechargers Chemical to electrical in dry cells Mechanical to electrical in generators [power plants] Nuclear to heat in nuclear reactors Gravitational potential energy of a falling object is converted to kinetic energy then to heat and sound energy when the object hits the ground.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT **GOOD ALIGNMENT** FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lessons on Chapter 6 cover the standard. However, the standard has a DOK level 2 requirement and the material is, at best DOK level 1.

24. **SC.912.P.10.2:** Explore the Law of Conservation of Energy by differentiating among open, closed, and isolated systems and explain that the total energy in an isolated system is a conserved quantity.

Remarks/Examples:

Use calorimetry to illustrate conservation of energy. Differentiate between the different types of systems and solve problems involving conservation of energy in simple systems (Physics). Explain how conservation of energy is important in chemical reactions with bond formation and bond breaking (Chemistry).

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT **FAIR ALIGNMENT** POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lessons on Chapter 6 cover the standard. However, the standard has a DOK level 3 requirement and the material is, at best DOK level 1.

25. **SC.912.P.10.3:** Compare and contrast work and power qualitatively and quantitatively.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT **GOOD ALIGNMENT** FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lessons on Chapter 6 cover the standard. However, the standard has a DOK level 2 requirement and the material is, at best DOK level 1.

26. **SC.912.P.10.4:** Describe heat as the energy transferred by convection, conduction, and radiation, and explain the connection of heat to change in temperature or states of matter.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lessons on Chapter 11 cover the standard. However, the standard has a DOK level 3 requirement and the material is, at best DOK level 1.

27. **SC.912.P.10.5:** Relate temperature to the average molecular kinetic energy.

Remarks/Examples:

Recognize that the internal energy of an object includes the energy of random motion of the object's atoms and molecules, often referred to as thermal energy.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lesson 12.8.1a covers the standard. However, the standard has a DOK level 2 requirement and the material is, at best DOK level 1.

28. **SC.912.P.10.6:** Create and interpret potential energy diagrams, for example: chemical reactions, orbits around a central body, motion of a pendulum.

Remarks/Examples:

Construct and interpret potential energy diagrams for endothermic and exothermic chemical reactions, and for rising or falling objects. Describe the transformation of energy as a pendulum swings.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lesson 11.1.2.e cover the instruction part of the standard. However, the standard has a DOK level 3 requirement and the material is, at best DOK level 1.

29. **SC.912.P.10.7:** Distinguish between endothermic and exothermic chemical processes.

Remarks/Examples:

Classify chemical reactions and phase changes as exothermic (release thermal energy) or endothermic (absorb thermal energy).

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lesson 11.1.2.e cover the standard. However, the standard has a DOK level 2 requirement and the material is, at best DOK level 1.

30. **SC.912.P.10.8:** Explain entropy's role in determining the efficiency of processes that convert energy to work.

Remarks/Examples:

Recognize that there is a natural tendency for systems to move in a direction of disorder or randomness (entropy). Describe entropy as a quantity that measures the order or disorder of a system and that this quantity is larger for a more disordered system.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lesson 12.7.1.e covers entropy and efficiency. However, the standards has a DOK level 3 requirement and the material is, at best, DOK level 1.

31. **SC.912.P.10.10:** Compare the magnitude and range of the four fundamental forces (gravitational, electromagnetic, weak nuclear, strong nuclear).

Remarks/Examples:

Recognize and discuss the effect of each force on the structure of matter and the evidence for it.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Chapter 22 Lesson 2 covers the standard. However, the standard has a DOK level 2 requirement and the material is, at best DOK level 1.

32. **SC.912.P.10.13:** Relate the configuration of static charges to the electric field, electric force, electric potential, and electric potential energy.

Remarks/Examples:

Using Coulomb's law, determine the force on a stationary charge due to other stationary charges, and explain that this force is many times greater than the gravitational force. Recognize the relationship between forces and their associated potential energies and that the electric field is directly related to the rate of change of the electric potential from point to point in space.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lessons on Chapter 15 cover the standard. However, the standard has a DOK level 3 requirement and the material is, at best DOK level 1.

33. **SC.912.P.10.14:** Differentiate among conductors, semiconductors, and insulators.

Remarks/Examples:

Describe band structure, valence electrons, and how the charges flow or rearrange themselves between conductors and insulators.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT **GOOD ALIGNMENT** FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Chapter 15 Lesson 1 covers the standard. However, the standard has a DOK level 2 requirement and the material is, at best DOK level 1.

34. **SC.912.P.10.15:** Investigate and explain the relationships among current, voltage, resistance, and power.

Remarks/Examples:

Use Ohm's and Kirchhoff's laws to explain the relationships among circuits.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT **FAIR ALIGNMENT** POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lessons on Chapter 16 cover the standard. However, the standard has a DOK level 3 requirement and the material is, at best DOK level 1.

35. **SC.912.P.10.16:** Explain the relationship between moving charges and magnetic fields, as well as changing magnetic fields and electric fields, and their application to modern technologies.

Remarks/Examples:

Explain that moving electric charges produce magnetic forces and moving magnets produce electric forces. Recognize the Lorentz force is the force on a point charge due to electromagnetic fields and occurs in many devices, including mass spectrometers.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT **FAIR ALIGNMENT** POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lessons on Chapter 17 do a good job covering the standard. However, the standard has a DOK level 3 requirement and the material is, at best DOK level 1.

36. **SC.912.P.10.17:** Explore the theory of electromagnetism by explaining electromagnetic waves in terms of oscillating electric and magnetic fields.

Remarks/Examples:

Recognize that an oscillating charge creates an oscillating electric field which gives rise to electromagnetic waves. Recognize a changing magnetic field makes an electric field, and a changing electric field makes a magnetic field, and these phenomena are expressed mathematically through the Faraday law and the Ampere-Maxwell law.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT **FAIR ALIGNMENT** POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lesson 19.3.1, 17.3.1b., and others in chapter 17 do a good job covering the standard. However, the standard has a DOK level 3 requirement and the material is, at best, DOK level 1.

37. **SC.912.P.10.18:** Explore the theory of electromagnetism by comparing and contrasting the different parts of the electromagnetic spectrum in terms of wavelength, frequency, and energy, and relate them to phenomena and applications.

Remarks/Examples:

Describe the electromagnetic spectrum (i.e., radio waves, microwaves, infrared, visible light, ultraviolet, X-rays and gamma rays) in terms of frequency, wavelength and energy. Solve problems involving wavelength, frequency, and energy.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT **FAIR ALIGNMENT** POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lessons on Chapter 19 do a good job covering the standard. However, this is a DOK level 3 standard and the material presented is DOK Level 1

38. **SC.912.P.10.20:** Describe the measurable properties of waves and explain the relationships among them and how these properties change when the wave moves from one medium to another.

Remarks/Examples:

Describe the measurable properties of waves (velocity, frequency, wavelength, amplitude, period, reflection and refraction) and explain the relationships among them. Recognize that the source of all waves is a vibration and waves carry energy from one place to another. Distinguish between transverse and longitudinal waves in mechanical media, such as springs and ropes, and on the earth (seismic waves). Describe sound as a longitudinal wave whose speed depends on the properties of the medium in which it propagates.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT **FAIR ALIGNMENT** POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Chapters 13 and 21 do a good job covering the standard. However, this is a DOK level 3 standard and the material presented is DOK level 1.

39. **SC.912.P.10.21:** Qualitatively describe the shift in frequency in sound or electromagnetic waves due to the relative motion of a source or a receiver.

Remarks/Examples:

Describe the apparent change in frequency of waves due to the motion of a source or a receiver (the Doppler effect).

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT **GOOD ALIGNMENT** FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lesson 14.3.1 and 14.3.2 do a good job covering the standard. The equation provided is a very useful equation. Unfortunately, plugging numbers into an equation is still DOK level 1 and the requirement for the standard is DOK level 2

40. **SC.912.P.10.22:** Construct ray diagrams and use thin lens and mirror equations to locate the images formed by lenses and mirrors.

Remarks/Examples:

Use examples such as converging/diverging lenses and convex/concave mirrors. Use a ray diagram to determine the approximate location and size of the image, and the mirror equation to obtain numerical information about image distance and image size.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT **FAIR ALIGNMENT** POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Chapter 20 does an excellent job and even includes ray diagrams the student can play with. Unfortunately, the low cognitive level required from the student makes the activities DOK level 1 and the standard requires DOK level 3.

41. **SC.912.P.12.1:** Distinguish between scalar and vector quantities and assess which should be used to describe an event.

Remarks/Examples:

Distinguish between vector quantities (e.g., displacement, velocity, acceleration, force, and linear momentum) and scalar quantities (e.g., distance, speed, energy, mass, work).

MAFS.912.N-VM.1.3 (+) Solve problems involving velocity and other quantities that can be represented by vectors.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT **FAIR ALIGNMENT** POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lessons 1.2.1, 1.2.2 and the respective virtual labs present scalars and vectors effectively and the activities are engaging. Could not find evidence of student assessment of when to use scalar quantities and when to use vector quantities. DOK level required for standard is 3 while activities and text is DOK level 3.

42. **SC.912.P.12.2:** Analyze the motion of an object in terms of its position, velocity, and acceleration (with respect to a frame of reference) as functions of time.

Remarks/Examples:

Solve problems involving distance, velocity, speed, and acceleration. Create and interpret graphs of 1-dimensional motion, such as position versus time, distance versus time, speed versus time, velocity versus time, and acceleration versus time where acceleration is constant.

Florida Standards Connections: MAFS.912.N-VM.1.3 (+) Solve problems involving velocity and other quantities that can be represented by vectors.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT **FAIR ALIGNMENT** POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Some nice interactive graphs to help the student understand motion. Unfortunately, the DOK level 3 required by the standard is not met by the DOK level 2 activities (virtual labs) and DOK level 1 text.

43. **SC.912.P.12.3:** Interpret and apply Newton's three laws of motion.

Remarks/Examples:

Explain that when the net force on an object is zero, no acceleration occurs thus, a moving object continues to move at a constant speed in the same direction, or, if at rest, it remains at rest (Newton's first law). Explain that when a net force is applied to an object its motion will change, or accelerate (according to Newton's second law, $F = ma$). Predict and explain how when one object exerts a force on a second object, the second object always exerts a force of equal magnitude but of opposite direction and force back on the first: $F_1 \text{ on } 2 = -F_2 \text{ on } 1$ (Newton's third law).

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT **FAIR ALIGNMENT** POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Excellent presentations on Chapter 5. However DOK level 3 is required yet activities and text are DOK level 1

44. **SC.912.P.12.4:** Describe how the gravitational force between two objects depends on their masses and the distance between them.

Remarks/Examples:

Describe Newton's law of universal gravitation in terms of the attraction between two objects, their masses, and the inverse square of the distance between them.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lesson 8.3.1 and the gravity virtual lab cover DOK level 2 for this benchmark.

45. **SC.912.P.12.5:** Apply the law of conservation of linear momentum to interactions, such as collisions between objects.

Remarks/Examples:

(e.g. elastic and completely inelastic collisions).

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lessons on chapter 7 and the virtual lab cover the material. The virtual lab reaches DOK level 3 as long as teacher models correct expectations from students.

46. **SC.912.P.12.6:** Qualitatively apply the concept of angular momentum.

Remarks/Examples:

Explain that angular momentum is rotational analogy to linear momentum (e.g. Because angular momentum is conserved, a change in the distribution of mass about the axis of rotation will cause a change in the rotational speed [ice skater spinning]).

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT **FAIR ALIGNMENT** POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lessons 9.6.1.a and 9.6.2.a present angular momentum. However, the standard requires DOK 3 level and the material is DOK level 1.

47. **SC.912.P.12.7:** Recognize that nothing travels faster than the speed of light in vacuum which is the same for all observers no matter how they or the light source are moving.

Remarks/Examples:

Recognize that regardless of the speed of an observer or source, in a vacuum the speed of light is always c .

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lesson 21.1.2 covers the standard.

48. **SC.912.P.12.8:** Recognize that Newton's Laws are a limiting case of Einstein's Special Theory of Relativity at speeds that are much smaller than the speed of light.

Remarks/Examples:

Recognize that the speed of light in any reference frame is the central postulate of the Special Theory of Relativity. As speeds approach zero, Special Relativity tends towards equivalence with Newton's Laws of Motion.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lesson 5.1.3.a covers this statement.

49. **SC.912.P.12.9:** Recognize that time, length, and energy depend on the frame of reference.

Remarks/Examples:

The energy E and the momentum p depend on the frame of reference in which they are measured (e.g. Lorentz contraction).

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT **GOOD ALIGNMENT** FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Chapter 24 covers frame of references and Lorentz contraction (although it is not called by its name). Could not find Energy dependence on frame of reference

50. **LAFS.1112.RST.1.1:** Cite specific textual evidence to support analysis of science and technical texts, attending to important distinctions the author makes and to any gaps or inconsistencies in the account.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT **VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT**

Justification:

I could not find evidence that the student is thought how to cite references. I did check the lessons the publisher suggested for this evidence. On top of this, the standard requires DOK level 3.

51. **LAFS.1112.RST.1.2:** Determine the central ideas or conclusions of a text; summarize complex concepts, processes, or information presented in a text by paraphrasing them in simpler but still accurate terms.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT **POOR ALIGNMENT** VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lesson 3.6.4 covers the standard poorly. The student is not shown or presented with definitive examples that I could find. This is a DOK level 2 standard and the material is DOK level 1.

52. **LAFS.1112.RST.1.3:** Follow precisely a complex multistep procedure when carrying out experiments, taking measurements, or performing technical tasks; analyze the specific results based on explanations in the text.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT **GOOD ALIGNMENT** FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

None of the lesson plans or virtual labs are complex enough to meet the requirements of this standard. The publisher suggested lessons 3.5.2, 3.5.3, 3.5.4, 26.1, and 26.2. The labs come close but are still at DOK level 2 and not DOK level 3 as required.

53. **LAFS.1112.RST.2.4:** Determine the meaning of symbols, key terms, and other domain-specific words and phrases as they are used in a specific scientific or technical context relevant to grades 11–12 texts and topics.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT **FAIR ALIGNMENT** POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

The technical context is not at level grades 11-12 but more level 9-10. Found some vocabulary terms missing that are in the standards but not in the textbook (examples in the appropriate review of those standards). Lexile levels would have to be determined.

54. **LAFS.1112.RST.2.5:** Analyze how the text structures information or ideas into categories or hierarchies, demonstrating understanding of the information or ideas.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT **FAIR ALIGNMENT** POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Textbook activities are highly structured and do not allow student deviation. As such I couldn't find an activity that allowed the student to demonstrate this standard at a DOK 3 level. However, the textbook does have a repetitive theme throughout that supports logical text structures, categories, and hierarchies.

55. **LAFS.1112.RST.2.6:** Analyze the author's purpose in providing an explanation, describing a procedure, or discussing an experiment in a text, identifying important issues that remain unresolved.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT **GOOD ALIGNMENT** FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lesson 3.6.1 does provide the student with tools to analyze scientific claims. Lesson plan Chapter 11 overview part 3 does provide the student with a text to analyze but at DOK level 2 and not DOK level 3 as required.

56. **LAFS.1112.RST.3.7:** Integrate and evaluate multiple sources of information presented in diverse formats and media (e.g., quantitative data, video, multimedia) in order to address a question or solve a problem.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT **FAIR ALIGNMENT** POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lesson plans activities require the student to navigate to parts of the textbook with text or videos to gain further understanding and solve a question or problem. However, calculations and problems are not complex enough to break DOK level 1 (i.e. plugging numbers into formulas). Requirements for standard is DOK level 3

57. **LAFS.1112.RST.3.8:** Evaluate the hypotheses, data, analysis, and conclusions in a science or technical text, verifying the data when possible and corroborating or challenging conclusions with other sources of information.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT **GOOD ALIGNMENT** FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Textbook has many examples that the student can use to meet this standard. Unfortunately, these are, at best, DOK level 2 and the standard requires DOK level 3.

58. **LAFS.1112.RST.3.9:** Synthesize information from a range of sources (e.g., texts, experiments, simulations) into a coherent understanding of a process, phenomenon, or concept, resolving conflicting information when possible.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT **GOOD ALIGNMENT** FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lesson Plans - Textbook do provide the student with a coherent understanding. However, the assignments are not tailored as open projects but rather highly guided activities which does not meet the requirement for DOK level 3.

59. **LAFS.1112.RST.4.10:** By the end of grade 12, read and comprehend science/technical texts in the grades 11–12 text complexity band independently and proficiently.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT **POOR ALIGNMENT** VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

The lexile measure of the texts and assignments does not seem to reach grade 12.

60. **LAFS.1112.SL.1.1:** Initiate and participate effectively in a range of collaborative discussions (one-on-one, in groups, and teacher-led) with diverse partners on grades 11–12 topics, texts, and issues, building on others' ideas and expressing their own clearly and persuasively.

a. Come to discussions prepared, having read and researched material under study; explicitly draw on that preparation by referring to evidence from texts and other research on the topic or issue to stimulate a thoughtful, well-reasoned exchange of ideas.

b. Work with peers to promote civil, democratic discussions and decision-making, set clear goals and deadlines, and establish individual roles as needed.

c. Propel conversations by posing and responding to questions that probe reasoning and evidence; ensure a hearing for a full range of positions on a topic or issue; clarify, verify, or challenge ideas and conclusions; and promote divergent and creative perspectives.

d. Respond thoughtfully to diverse perspectives; synthesize comments, claims, and evidence made on all sides of an issue; resolve

contradictions when possible; and determine what additional information or research is required to deepen the investigation or complete the task.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT **GOOD ALIGNMENT** FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lesson plan of chapter 4 does require group work and meets with the standard. I have difficulties viewing the complexity as meeting the 11-12 grade level; it seems geared more towards a 9-10 grade level with 9th grade dominating.

61. **LAFS.1112.SL.1.2:** Integrate multiple sources of information presented in diverse formats and media (e.g., visually, quantitatively, orally) in order to make informed decisions and solve problems, evaluating the credibility and accuracy of each source and noting any discrepancies among the data.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT **VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT**

Justification:

I could not find the evidence suggested by the publisher, including chapter 12. There is a mention on how to present information on 25.4.1 but does not meet the level required by the standard.

62. **LAFS.1112.SL.1.3:** Evaluate a speaker's point of view, reasoning, and use of evidence and rhetoric, assessing the stance, premises, links among ideas, word choice, points of emphasis, and tone used.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT **POOR ALIGNMENT** VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

I could not find the evidence suggested by the publisher, including chapter 12 and 23. There is a mention on evaluating a science fiction author but does not meet the level required by the standard.

63. **LAFS.1112.SL.2.4:** Present information, findings, and supporting evidence, conveying a clear and distinct perspective, such that listeners can follow the line of reasoning, alternative or opposing perspectives are addressed, and the organization, development, substance, and style are appropriate to purpose, audience, and a range of formal and informal tasks.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT **POOR ALIGNMENT** VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

There are very few activities that require this from the student. I was unable to find a single one that asked for the rigor required by this DOK level 3 standard.

64. **LAFS.1112.SL.2.5:** Make strategic use of digital media (e.g., textual, graphical, audio, visual, and interactive elements) in presentations to enhance understanding of findings, reasoning, and evidence and to add interest.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT **POOR ALIGNMENT** VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

There are very few activities that require this from the student. I was unable to find a single one that asked for the rigor required by this DOK level 3 standard.

65. **LAFS.1112.WHST.1.1:** Write arguments focused on discipline-specific content.

- a. Introduce precise, knowledgeable claim(s), establish the significance of the claim(s), distinguish the claim(s) from alternate or opposing claims, and create an organization that logically sequences the claim(s), counterclaims, reasons, and evidence.
- b. Develop claim(s) and counterclaims fairly and thoroughly, supplying the most relevant data and evidence for each while pointing out the strengths and limitations of both claim(s) and counterclaims in a discipline-appropriate form that anticipates the audience's knowledge level, concerns, values, and possible biases.
- c. Use words, phrases, and clauses as well as varied syntax to link the major sections of the text, create cohesion, and clarify the relationships between claim(s) and reasons, between reasons and evidence, and between claim(s) and counterclaims.
- d. Establish and maintain a formal style and objective tone while attending to the norms and conventions of the discipline in which they are writing.
- e. Provide a concluding statement or section that follows from or supports the argument presented.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT **POOR ALIGNMENT** VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Chapter 24 Overview part 2 does seem to cover this standard, but the lack of a rubric should make it difficult for the student to reach the DOK level 4 required.

66. **LAFS.1112.WHST.1.2:** Write informative/explanatory texts, including the narration of historical events, scientific procedures/ experiments, or technical processes.

- a. Introduce a topic and organize complex ideas, concepts, and information so that each new element builds on that which precedes it to create a unified whole; include formatting (e.g., headings), graphics (e.g., figures, tables), and multimedia when useful to aiding comprehension.
- b. Develop the topic thoroughly by selecting the most significant and relevant facts, extended definitions, concrete details, quotations, or other information and examples appropriate to the audience's knowledge of the topic.
- c. Use varied transitions and sentence structures to link the major sections of the text, create cohesion, and clarify the relationships among complex ideas and concepts.

- d. Use precise language, domain-specific vocabulary and techniques such as metaphor, simile, and analogy to manage the complexity of the topic; convey a knowledgeable stance in a style that responds to the discipline and context as well as to the expertise of likely readers.
- e. Provide a concluding statement or section that follows from and supports the information or explanation provided (e.g., articulating implications or the significance of the topic).

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT **POOR ALIGNMENT** VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Chapter 24 Overview part 2 does seem to cover this standard, but the lack of a rubric should make it difficult for the student to reach the DOK level 4 required.

67. **LAFS.1112.WHST.2.4:** Produce clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are appropriate to task, purpose, and audience.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Chapter 24 Overview part 2 is an activity that should cover this standard.

68. **LAFS.1112.WHST.2.5:** Develop and strengthen writing as needed by planning, revising, editing, rewriting, or trying a new approach, focusing on addressing what is most significant for a specific purpose and audience.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Chapter 24 Overview part 2 is an activity that should cover this standard.

69. **LAFS.1112.WHST.2.6:** Use technology, including the Internet, to produce, publish, and update individual or shared writing products in response to ongoing feedback, including new arguments or information.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Chapter 24 Overview part 2 is an activity that should cover this standard.

70. **LAFS.1112.WHST.3.7:** Conduct short as well as more sustained research projects to answer a question (including a self-generated question) or solve a problem; narrow or broaden the inquiry when appropriate; synthesize multiple sources on the subject, demonstrating understanding of the subject under investigation.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT **FAIR ALIGNMENT** POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Chapter 24 Overview part 2 is an activity that should cover this standard, however there are no clear indications in the text that this should be an objective of the activity.

71. **LAFS.1112.WHST.3.8:** Gather relevant information from multiple authoritative print and digital sources, using advanced searches effectively; assess the strengths and limitations of each source in terms of the specific task, purpose, and audience; integrate information into the text selectively to maintain the flow of ideas, avoiding plagiarism and overreliance on any one source and following a standard format for citation.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Chapter 24 Overview part 2 is an activity that should cover this standard.

72. **LAFS.1112.WHST.3.9:** Draw evidence from informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Chapter 24 Overview part 2 is an activity that should cover this standard.

73. **LAFS.1112.WHST.4.10:** Write routinely over extended time frames (time for reflection and revision) and shorter time frames (a single sitting or a day or two) for a range of discipline-specific tasks, purposes, and audiences.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT **VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT**

Justification:

I found no evidence that the notebook required writing over extended time frames.

74. **MAFS.912.A-CED.1.4:** Rearrange formulas to highlight a quantity of interest, using the same reasoning as in solving equations. For example, rearrange Ohm's law $V = IR$ to highlight resistance R .

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Examples of formulas and procedures to solve them abound. Most are DOK 1 as is this standard.

75. **MAFS.912.F-IF.2.4:** For a function that models a relationship between two quantities, interpret key features of graphs and tables in terms of the quantities, and sketch graphs showing key features given a verbal description of the relationship. Key features include: intercepts; intervals where the function is increasing, decreasing, positive, or negative; relative maximums and minimums; symmetries; end behavior; and periodicity.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT **GOOD ALIGNMENT** FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Activities found throughout the book are mostly DOK level 1 while the standard is DOK level 2.

76. **MAFS.912.F-IF.3.7:** Graph functions expressed symbolically and show key features of the graph, by hand in simple cases and using technology for more complicated cases.

- a. Graph linear and quadratic functions and show intercepts, maxima, and minima.
- b. Graph square root, cube root, and piecewise-defined functions, including step functions and absolute value functions.
- c. Graph polynomial functions, identifying zeros when suitable factorizations are available, and showing end behavior.
- d. Graph rational functions, identifying zeros and asymptotes when suitable factorizations are available, and showing end behavior.
- e. Graph exponential and logarithmic functions, showing intercepts and end behavior, and trigonometric functions, showing period, midline, and amplitude, and using phase shift.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Chapter 25 lesson 2 meets this standard.

77. **MAFS.912.G-GMD.1.3:** Use volume formulas for cylinders, pyramids, cones, and spheres to solve problems.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Chapter 25 lesson 2 page 56 meets this standard.

78. **MAFS.912.G-MG.1.2:** Apply concepts of density based on area and volume in modeling situations (e.g., persons per square mile, BTUs per cubic foot).

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT **GOOD ALIGNMENT** FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Chapter 25 lesson 2 page 61 meets this standard. DOK level required is 2 while textbook DOK level presented is 1

79. **MAFS.912.N-Q.1.1:** Use units as a way to understand problems and to guide the solution of multi-step problems; choose and interpret units consistently in formulas; choose and interpret the scale and the origin in graphs and data displays.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT **GOOD ALIGNMENT** FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Units are properly displayed in all problems but interaction or chances for student to write in the correct unit are very limited.

80. **MAFS.912.N-Q.1.3:** Choose a level of accuracy appropriate to limitations on measurement when reporting quantities.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT **GOOD ALIGNMENT** FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lessons 3.5.3, 3.3.1, and 3.3.2 describe the proper way to use significant figures at a DOK level 1 while the standard requires DOK level 2.

81. **MAFS.912.N-VM.1.1:** Recognize vector quantities as having both magnitude and direction. Represent vector quantities by directed line segments, and use appropriate symbols for vectors and their magnitudes (e.g., v , $|v|$, $\|v\|$, \vec{v}).

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT **GOOD ALIGNMENT** FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

The textbook does constantly remind the student that vectors have both magnitude and direction and helps the student understand vector arithmetic. However, vectors are represented with bold capital letters and are missing directed line segments or magnitudes as bars surrounding vectors.

82. **MAFS.912.N-VM.1.2:** Find the components of a vector by subtracting the coordinates of an initial point from the coordinates of a terminal point.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lesson 4.1.1 and 4.1.2 meet this standard.

83. **MAFS.912.N-VM.1.3:** Solve problems involving velocity and other quantities that can be represented by vectors.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lesson 4.1.2, 5.5.1 are a couple of many lessons that help achieve this standard.

84. **MAFS.912.S-IC.2.6:** Evaluate reports based on data.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT **FAIR ALIGNMENT** POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

gravity virtual lab helps achieve this standard at a DOK level 2. The "report" is limited in its complexity but it achieves the purpose of the standard

85. **MAFS.912.S-ID.1.1:** Represent data with plots on the real number line (dot plots, histograms, and box plots).

Remarks/Examples:

In grades 6 – 8, students describe center and spread in a data distribution. Here they choose a summary statistic appropriate to the characteristics of the data distribution, such as the shape of the distribution or the existence of extreme data points.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT **GOOD ALIGNMENT** FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

The student is thought in lessons in chapter 25 how to represent data. Could not find a lesson plan where this is required from the student so I must deduct a point because standard is DOK level 2 and instruction material is DOK level 1

86. **MAFS.912.S-ID.1.2:** Use statistics appropriate to the shape of the data distribution to compare center (median, mean) and spread (interquartile range, standard deviation) of two or more different data sets.

Remarks/Examples:

In grades 6 – 8, students describe center and spread in a data distribution. Here they choose a summary statistic appropriate to the characteristics of the data distribution, such as the shape of the distribution or the existence of extreme data points.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT **FAIR ALIGNMENT** POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lesson 25.2.2 teaches the student normal distribution basics. Virtual lab thermodynamics asks student to calculate average. Could not find evidence on students dealing with extreme data sets or comparing data from different sets.

87. **MAFS.912.S-ID.1.3:** Interpret differences in shape, center, and spread in the context of the data sets, accounting for possible effects of extreme data points (outliers).

Remarks/Examples:

In grades 6 – 8, students describe center and spread in a data distribution. Here they choose a summary statistic appropriate to the characteristics of the data distribution, such as the shape of the distribution or the existence of extreme data points.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT **FAIR ALIGNMENT** POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lessons on chapter 25 section 2 shows the student but does not assess them. Could not find evidence where students are asked to describe a data distribution as required by the standard.

88. **MAFS.912.S-ID.1.4:** Use the mean and standard deviation of a data set to fit it to a normal distribution and to estimate population percentages. Recognize that there are data sets for which such a procedure is not appropriate. Use calculators, spreadsheets, and tables to estimate areas under the normal curve.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT **FAIR ALIGNMENT** POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Math lesson on chapter 26 help the student learn how to calculate mean and standard deviation. Could not find evidence of a virtual lab or lesson asking to use standard deviation.

89. **MAFS.912.S-ID.2.5:** Summarize categorical data for two categories in two-way frequency tables. Interpret relative frequencies in the context of the data (including joint, marginal, and conditional relative frequencies). Recognize possible associations and trends in the data.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT **FAIR ALIGNMENT** POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Ch 25 lesson 2 teaches students on categorical data. Could not find evidence of a virtual lab or lesson asking student to create or compare categorical data.

90. **MAFS.912.S-ID.2.6:** Represent data on two quantitative variables on a scatter plot, and describe how the variables are related.

- Fit a function to the data; use functions fitted to data to solve problems in the context of the data. Use given functions or choose a function suggested by the context. Emphasize linear, and exponential models.
- Informally assess the fit of a function by plotting and analyzing residuals.
- Fit a linear function for a scatter plot that suggests a linear association.

Remarks/Examples:

Students take a more sophisticated look at using a linear function to model the relationship between two numerical variables. In addition to fitting a line to data, students assess how well the model fits by analyzing residuals.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT **GOOD ALIGNMENT** FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

Lesson 2.3.0 covers scatter plot analysis and trend lines. Many scatter plots are found through the text including teaching students how to draw scatter plots using a spreadsheet. Could not find evidence of a virtual lab or lesson asking student to draw and compare data on a scatter plot explicitly.

91. **ELD.K12.ELL.SC.1:** English language learners communicate information, ideas and concepts necessary for academic success in the content area of Science.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

ELL activities found in Chapter 27.

92. **ELD.K12.ELL.SI.1:** English language learners communicate for social and instructional purposes within the school setting.

VERY GOOD ALIGNMENT GOOD ALIGNMENT FAIR ALIGNMENT POOR ALIGNMENT VERY POOR/NO ALIGNMENT

Justification:

ELL Lesson Plans found in Chapter 27