Document #2

Recommendations that Need Approval by USDOE

Some recommendations would also require statutory changes those are highlighted in blue

				What Type of Change Would be Needed to Implement Recommendation				
Subcommittee	Subcommittee Work	Description	Statutory and Rule Reference	Policy Change Needed	Statutory Change Needed	Rule Change Needed	Practice Change Needed	Timeline
Recommendatio	ons that Need USDOE Agreement							
ELL	 Revisit federal policy for accountability of entry into country vs. state practice of entry into ESOL program for determining entry point for ELLs. 	Federal policy holds that ELL entry point for inclusion in accountability begins with entry into the country. State policy has been to base ELL identification for accountability purposes on entry date into an ESOL program. This is important because, first year ELLs are exempted from inclusion in the school accountability	1011.62(1) F.S.	Yes	No, as long as agreed to as part of the ESEA waiver.	Yes	Yes	Dependent upon USDOE agreement
	(21 Taskforce members indicated agreement)	system for performance purposes. How one defines point of entry affects how first year ELLs are identified. Subcommittee brought up the fact that in many districts in Florida, the majority of ELL students were actually born in the United States, calling into	Rules					
		question the validity of using entry into the country for accountability purposes.	6A-1.09981;					
			6A – 6.09022, F.A.C.					
ELL	2. Use multiple outcome measures to ensure a comprehensive picture of	Subcommittee expressed a desire to expand the School Grades calculation to include additional measures. It was explicitly stated	s. 1008.34, F.S.	Yes	Possibly, more specificity about the	Yes	Yes	Would likely require statutory
	ELL progress	that these measures would be in addition to $-$ not instead of $-$	Rule 6A-		manner of			change and
	a. Close achievement gap	current measures. Measures would not necessarily be limited to	1.09981,		implementation is			USDOE
	b. Improve level	ELLs. For example, schools could earn points for closing	F.A.C.		needed to opine;			agreement
	c. Maintain proficiency	achievement gaps (ELL vs. non-ELL, but also other comparisons).			however, certain parts			
	d. Scale score from Level 1 to	Schools could earn points for performance or gains for a cohort of			of recommendation			
	Level 2	students who have been with the same school over a number of			would need statutory			
	e. Longitudinal cohort analysis f. Participation count – gain	consecutive years (e.g., the performance of fifth graders who have attended the same elementary school since kindergarten).			change, such as maintaining			
	count –	מננרושנים נווב אווועבוצמונכווגמיץ אווועבוצמונכוון.			proficiency. This is a			
	proficiency/performance count				new component of			
	g. Disaggregate data by level of				the grading scheme.			

Subcommittee				What Ty				
	Subcommittee Work	mittee Work Description	Statutory and Rule Reference	Policy Change Needed	Statutory Change Needed	Rule Change Needed	Practice Change Needed	Timeline
Recommendation	ons that Need USDOE Agreement	•			·			
	proficiency; growth of proficiency; growth over time in program (20 Taskforce members indicated agreement)							
ELL	 3. Use multiple outcome measures to ensure comprehensive picture for ELLs in School Grades, including English Language Proficiency (e.g., Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment [CELLA] results) (19 Taskforce members indicated agreement) 	Subcommittee noted that ELLs must develop English language proficiency as well as academic content mastery. The academic content mastery is covered by FCAT, and schools are currently held accountable for that measure. English language proficiency is measured by CELLA, which is not currently part of the School Grading system. Thus, schools are not currently held accountable for English language proficiency levels. Including CELLA would provide schools incentives to focus on English language proficiency. By focusing on moving ELLs to English language proficiency, FCAT outcomes should improve.	s. 1008.34, F.S. Rule 6A- 1.09981, F.A.C.	Yes	Yes. Section 1008.34(2)(b) sets forth the tests to be used in grading and they are limited to FCAT, EOC and test for special diploma or FAA.	Yes	Yes	Would require statutory change and USDOE agreement
ESE Centers	 4. For students performing on Emergent level on FAA, proficiency determined by learning gains for school accountability purposes. Define learning gains as meeting state average or higher for emergent students at each grade (18 Taskforce members indicated agreement) 	Raw score increases (year over year) would be used to determine gains for students remaining at FAA levels 1, 2, and 3. Rather than the planned 11-point increase required to show gains (based on the difference in the standard error of measurement), a student would be considered to have made a gain if he/she met or exceeded the state average for students at the same grade level.	6A-1.09981	Yes.	No.	Yes.	Yes.	Requires USDOE agreement
ELL	 5. Use weighted measure of FCAT performance in School Grades until English language proficiency is achieved (17 Taskforce members indicated agreement) 	Subcommittee explicitly stated that this recommendation fully included ELLs. However, the recommendation aims to weight FCAT performance differently based on what one would expect from the ELL given his/her English language proficiency level.	Rule 6A- 1.09981, F.A.C.	Yes	No, if this recommendation is implemented by weighing performance of ELL differently until they are proficient. This is because 1008.34(3)(b)1.a. gives DOE discretion	Yes	Yes	Requires USDOE agreement

	e Subcommittee Work Description			What Ty				
Subcommittee		ommittee Work Description	Statutory and Rule Reference	Policy Change Needed	Statutory Change Needed	Rule Change Needed	Practice Change Needed	Timeline
Recommendation	ons that Need USDOE Agreement		T	1	1		-	
					in how to define performance or achievement.			
ELL	 6. Make modifications to the School Grades performance calculation for ELLs based on English language proficiency or 5 years in an ESOL program. Schools would earn a bonus for ELLs who are not yet proficient in English (i.e., not proficient on CELLA) within 5 years in an ESOL program yet score Level 3 or higher on FCAT. (17 Taskforce members indicated agreement with the concept) (15 indicated agreement with including non-English proficient students in the numerator, but not denominator of the School Grade performance components if they earn a Level 3 or higher on FCAT) (15 indicated agreement with fully including ELL students who have achieved English language proficiency in both the numerator and denominator of the School Grades performance components) <u>Note</u>: Committee members voted for pieces of this recommendation, though it is basically one recommendation. 	Schools would earn a bonus for ELLs who are not yet proficient in English (i.e., not proficient on CELLA) within 5 years in an ESOL program. That is, if an ELL student has been receiving ESOL services for 5 years or less, and has yet to achieve English language proficiency, his/her performance would only count in the numerator of the School Grades performance components, not the denominator. In a sense, a non-English proficient student who reaches a Level 3 or higher on FCAT would be treated as a "bonus" for a school. If an ELL student has achieved English Language proficiency, he/she would be included in the School Grades performance components regardless of what level (i.e., Level 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5) the student earns on FCAT. Note, that current policy fully includes all ELL students who have been receiving ESOL services for two years or more in the School Grades performance components, regardless of English language proficiency status.	Rule 6A- 1.09981, F.A.C.	Yes	Yes. The effect of the recommendation is to remove students from the performance component of school grades based upon CELLA scores. There is no authority for the removal or the use of CELLA in 1008.34 F.S.	Yes	Yes	Requires statutory change and USDOE agreement
ELL	7. Focus on English language proficiency levels – weighted calculation	Similar to Recommendation 6.	Rule 6A- 1.09981, F.A.C.	Yes	Yes, see recommendation 6	Yes	Yes	Requires statutory change and USDOE

				What Type	e of Change Would be N Recommenda	-		
Subcommittee	Subcommittee Work	mmittee Work Description	Statutory and Rule Reference	Policy Change Needed	Statutory Change Needed	Rule Change Needed	Practice Change Needed	Timeline
Recommendatio	ons that Need USDOE Agreement							
	h. 5 years in program i. Bonus if proficient in less j. Proficiency on CELLA <u>NUM</u> DENOM k. Not proficient on CELLA <u>NUM</u> Not DENOM (17 Taskforce members indicated							agreement
ELL	agreement)8. Take ELL diversity into account:I. Program entry (i.e., largepercent of ELL students whoare born in the country,differences among students attime of entry into ESOLprograms) (When this issue isrecommended in Goal 4 as anitem to inform future federalpolicy 21 indicated agreementon the stand-alonerecommendation in Goal 4)m. Language proficiency levels:developmentn. Background (e.g., refugeestatus, migrant status,mobility)	Currently the USDOE requires the use of entry into the country to determine measure of time of schooling for ELLs; this does not allow for the majority of ELLs in Florida and the country who are born in the US and do not receive English language proficiency services until they enter the school system and are identified for English for Speakers of Other Languages (ESOL) services. Florida's school accountability rule uses first day of ESOL services as entry date of student. Additionally, subcommittee members felt it important to take into account the diversity of ELLs in appropriately including them in a school accountability system. For example, differences among ELLs in terms of schooling history at time of entry into an ESOL program, language proficiency acquisition, and status (e.g., refugee, migrant) all may impact their expected performance on an academic content exam, such as FCAT. A third related issue is break in services when ELLs move back out of the country and reenter as well as migrants who move in and out of school district systems. Florida's funding system requires district do not exit and reenter students in ESOL program services.	Section 1011.62(1) F.S. Rules 6A-1.09981; 6A – 6.09022, F.A.C.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Would require statutory change and change in State Auditing practices and USDOE agreement
SWD	 9. Continue conversation at federal level to include special diploma equally in graduation rate 	The subcommittee recommended that there should be consideration at the federal level for special diplomas to be included in the graduation rate. The subcommittee cautioned that	ESEA		N/A			Requires USDOE agreement

Subcommittee	Subcommittee Work			What Ty				
		Description	Statutory and Rule Reference	Policy Change Needed	Statutory Change Needed	Rule Change Needed	Practice Change Needed	Timeline
Recommendation	ons that Need USDOE Agreement	·						•
	calculations. (11 Taskforce members indicated agreement)	this should only be considered for the very small percentage of students for whom a special diploma is appropriate. The subcommittee did not want this consideration to encourage the movement of more students to a special diploma in order to boost graduation rates.						
ESE Centers	 10. Recognize special diploma recipients fully in the graduation rate. (11 Taskforce members indicated agreement) 	The subcommittee recommended that special diploma recipients be included in the numerator of the federal uniform graduation rate.	Rule 6A- 1.09981	NA	NA	NA	NA	Requires USDOE agreement
ESE Centers	 11. Recognize student growth as a unique component of accountability. (9 Taskforce members indicated agreement) 	Current components of AYP determinations prescribed by ESEA do not include the option of using student learning gains measures as stand-alone components.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Requires USDOE agreement
SWD	12. Identify a way to use the IEP for accountability purposes. (8 Taskforce members indicated agreement)	The subcommittee suggested that student growth, as measured by the IEP, should be considered as part of the accountability system for students with disabilities.	ESEA s. 1003.576 s.1008.34 Rule 6A-1.09981	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Require statutory change and USDOE agreement
ELL	 13. Include ELLs fairly, justly, and accurately in the accountability system a. Multiple forms/approaches of assessment (8 Taskforce members indicated agreement) 	Reiterates the subcommittee's strong desire to include ELL fairly and accurately, whether that means inclusion of the English language proficiency exam (CELLA) in School Grades, or content assessments (e.g., FCAT) in native languages.	s. 1008.34, F.S. Rule 6A- 1.09981, F.A.C. Likely assessment statutes and rules, though not sure	Yes	Possibly; there is no mandate for, or restriction on, the use of assessments in native language in state statute. More specificity is needed on implementation of the recommendation to opine more definitively. Use of CELLA would require	Likely	Yes	Requires statutory change and USDOE agreement

	Subcommittee Work			What Ty				
Subcommittee		Description	Statutory and Rule Reference	Policy Change Needed	Statutory Change Needed	Rule Change Needed	Practice Change Needed	Timeline
Recommendation	ons that Need USDOE Agreement		T			1	1	-
					legislative change			
ELL	14. Focus on learning gains (5 Taskforce members indicated agreement)	Until an ELL is proficient in the English language, the focus should be on learning gains on both FCAT and CELLA.	Rule 6A- 1.09981, F.A.C.	Yes	Yes. Section 1008.34(3)(b) sets forth the tests to be used in school grades and CELLA is not one of them. Also, Section 1008.34(3)(b) sets forth the components of school grades and includes achievement or proficiency.	Yes	Yes	Requires statutory change and USDOE agreement
ELL	 15. In School Grades, use CELLA to show increased proficiency instead of FCAT for at least two years (4 Taskforce members indicated agreement) 	Similar to Recommendation 3. There was discussion on whether this recommendation should be to use CELLA <u>instead of</u> FCAT in School Grades for ELLs in the program for 2 years or less, or whether this recommendation was to use CELLA <u>or</u> FCAT in School Grades for this subset of ELLs. Some subcommittee members noted that in their district some ELLs actually achieve an FCAT Level 3 prior to language proficiency on CELLA, and they do not want to lose credit for that.	s. 1008.34, F.S. Rule 6A- 1.09981, F.A.C.	Yes	Yes. See comment to recommendation 3.	Yes	Yes	Requires statutory change and USDOE agreement
Staff	16. Bonus for achievement gap reductions	Provide a school grade incentive for achievement gap reduction (measured by the percent scoring at or above grade level, by subject area) for Students with Disabilities and English language learners. Performance for these subgroups would be compared with the state-level (or district-level?) performance of all students, and the gap measure would compare data from the prior year with the current year. If the gap goes down, the school qualifies for bonus points (amount by subject area TBD). – or a variation on this theme	s. 1008.34(3) (b), F.S. Rule 6A- 1.09981, F.A.C.	Yes	No. Recommendation appears to fit under the discretion afforded DOE under the learning gains component of the grading statute as long as the bonus does not alter the 50 50 split between performance and participation under 1008.34(3)(b)2	Yes	Yes	Requires USDOE agreement