# RTTT 22 PLA Grant Schools (E.25.1) Report Evaluative Data, 2012-13 

## Dropout Rates

Objective: The single-year dropout rate by each grade level for CTE students ${ }^{1}$ in RTTT project schools will be at least $50 \%$ below the dropout rates by grade level for the schools involved in the project. To make a more valid comparison, we separated CTE and non-CTE students into separate groups by grade level and calculated dropout rates for each. In 2012-13, CTE students in $9^{\text {th }}$ and $10^{\text {th }}$ grades met the objective (see Table 1 below). CTE students in $11^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ grades fell short of the objective, but did have lower dropout rates than their non-CTE peers.

Table 1: Dropout Rate Comparison between CTE and Non-CTE Students in Project Schools by Grade Level, 2012-13

| Grade Level | Total Students Non-CTE | Total <br> Dropouts <br> Non-CTE | Non-CTE <br> Dropout Rate | Total CTE <br> Students | Total CTE <br> Student <br> Dropouts | CTE <br> Student <br> Dropout <br> Rate | 50\% of NonCTE Rate | CTE <br> Dropout Rate <= 50\% NonCTE Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 3,584 | 94 | 2.6\% | 3,248 | 22 | 0.7\% | 1.3\% | Yes |
| 10 | 3,275 | 75 | 2.3\% | 3,169 | 37 | 1.2\% | 1.2\% | Yes |
| 11 | 2,585 | 59 | 2.3\% | 3,321 | 48 | 1.4\% | 1.2\% | No |
| 12 | 2,147 | 72 | 3.4\% | 3,319 | 65 | 2.0\% | 1.7\% | No |

Source: Education Data Warehouse

## Statewide Assessments

Objective: The percentage of CTE students in project schools performing on grade level in statewide assessments will be higher than the statewide average.

## Reading

The gap between the performance of CTE students in project schools and the students statewide on the $9^{\text {th }}$ and $10^{\text {th }}$ grade reading assessment ${ }^{2}$ was considerable (see Table 2).

[^0]Table 2: Performance Comparison between CTE Students in Project Schools and Students Statewide on Reading Assessments, 2012-13

| Grade |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level | CTE Test <br> Takers | CTE on <br> Grade <br> Level | Percent CTE <br> on Grade <br> Level | State <br> Percent <br> on Grade <br> Level | Difference |
| 9 | 2,988 | 1,011 | $33.8 \%$ | $53.0 \%$ | $-19.2 \%$ |
| 10 | 2,874 | 1,067 | $37.1 \%$ | $54.0 \%$ | $-16.9 \%$ |

Source: Education Data Warehouse

When the performance of CTE students in RTTT project schools are compared to each school's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO), CTE students in 5 out of the 22 schools, or $23 \%$, met or exceeded the school's $9^{\text {th }}$ grade reading AMO, and CTE students in 9 out of the 22 schools, or $41 \%$, met or exceeded the school's $10^{\text {th }}$ grade reading AMO.

When CTE students and non-CTE students in RTTT project schools are compared, we see that a higher percentage of CTE students are performing at grade level than their non-CTE peers (see Table 3).

Table 3: Performance Comparison between CTE Students and Non-CTE Students in RTTT Project Schools on Reading Assessments, 2012-13

|  | Percent <br> Percent CTE on <br> Grade Level | Non-CTE <br> on Grade <br> Level | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | $33.8 \%$ | $24.9 \%$ | $8.9 \%$ |
| 10 | $37.1 \%$ | $26.1 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ |

Source: Education Data Warehouse

## Algebra I End of Course Assessment

As was the case with reading assessments, the gap between the performance of CTE students in project schools and the students statewide on the Algebra 1 end-of-course assessment was wide (see Table 4).

Table 4: Performance Comparison between CTE Students in RTTT Project Schools and Students Statewide on Algebra 1 End-of-Course Assessments, 2012-13

| CTE Test <br> Takers | CTE on <br> Grade <br> Level | Percent CTE on <br> Grade Level | State Percent <br> on Grade <br> Level $^{3}$ | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3,493 | 1,545 | $44.2 \%$ | $64.0 \%$ | $-19.8 \%$ |

Source: Education Data Warehouse

[^1]When the performance of CTE students in RTTT project schools are compared to each school's Annual Measurable Objective, CTE students in 14 out of the 22 schools, or $64 \%$, met or exceeded the Annual Measurable Objective for the school.

When CTE students and non-CTE students in RTTT project schools are compared, we see that a higher percentage of CTE students are performing at grade level than their non-CTE peers (see Table 5).

Table 5: Performance Comparison between CTE Students and Non-CTE Students in RTTT Project Schools on Algebra 1 End-of-Course Assessments, 2012-13

|  | Percent |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent CTE <br> Passing <br> Exam | Non-CTE <br> Passing <br> Exam | Difference |
| $44.2 \%$ | $38.0 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ |

Source: Education Data Warehouse

## Performance Outcomes

Objective: At least 10\% of enrollees in each grant-funded program will have been reported as earning an industry certification with a statewide associate degree program articulation agreement. Of the twenty-two schools included in the project, nine met the objective of having at least ten percent of students enrolled earning certifications with a statewide associate degree program articulation agreement. Two of those schools had more than twenty percent (20\%) of students earning eligible certifications.

Table 6: Percent of students enrolled in CTE programs earning Gold Standard Industry Certifications, 2012-13

| School Name | Students in Job <br> Prep/Tech Ed <br> Programs | Industry <br> Certifications Earned <br> by Students | Gold Standard <br> Industry <br> Certifications Earned <br> by Students | Percent Earning <br> Gold Standard <br> Industry <br> Certification |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. Philip Randolph Academies | 950 | 86 | 65 | $6.8 \%$ |
| Amos P. Godby High School | 317 | 138 | 77 | $24.3 \%$ |
| Andrew Jackson High School | 701 | 85 | 16 | $2.3 \%$ |
| Booker T. Washington Senior | 912 | 283 | 112 | $12.3 \%$ |
| Clewiston High School | 1,006 | 18 | 5 | $0.5 \%$ |
| East Gadsden High School | 671 | 50 | 50 | $7.5 \%$ |
| First Coast High School | 843 | 31 | 31 | $3.7 \%$ |
| Gibbs High School | 934 | 22 | 15 | $1.6 \%$ |
| Jean Ribault High School | 505 | 2 | 49 | $9.7 \%$ |
| Jefferson County Middle/High | 286 | 106 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Madison County High School | 768 | 407 | 58 | $7.6 \%$ |
| Miami Central Senior High School | 1,669 |  | 204 | $12.2 \%$ |


| School Name | Students in Job <br> Prep/Tech Ed <br> Programs | Industry <br> Certifications Earned <br> by Students | Gold Standard <br> Industry <br> Certifications Earned <br> by Students | Percent Earning <br> Gold Standard <br> Industry <br> Certification |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Miami Edison Senior High School | 385 | 106 | 39 | $10.1 \%$ |
| Miami Jackson Senior High School | 916 | 123 | 46 | $5.0 \%$ |
| Miami Northwestern Senior High | 1,345 | 505 | 269 | $20.0 \%$ |
| Miami Southridge Senior High | 1,822 | 320 | 204 | $11.2 \%$ |
| Middleton High School | 1,324 | 99 | 48 | $3.6 \%$ |
| Poinciana High School | 1,256 | 153 | 130 | $10.4 \%$ |
| St. Johns Technical High School | 33 | 0 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| West Gadsden High School | 241 | 43 | $\mathbf{4 3}$ | $17.8 \%$ |
| William M. Raines High School | 321 | $\mathbf{0}$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Williston High School | $\mathbf{4 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 7 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 5 2 2}$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 7 , 6 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 6 \%}$ |  |

*Counts are duplicated and include students enrolled in multiple programs and students who earned multiple certifications

Objective: For each grant-funded program, the percentage of graduating seniors in years two and three of the project that are found in postsecondary education the following fall and college ready based on Common Placement Test or Postsecondary Education Readiness Test scores will be at least ten percentage points higher than among graduating seniors in their respective schools.*

When the postsecondary placement of college ready CTE students in RTT project schools are compared with college ready non-CTE students, a high percentage of CTE students were found enrolled in postsecondary education in the year following graduation. The difference in percent enrolled between CTE and non-CTE exceeded 10\%.

Table 7: Percentage of college ready students found enrolled in Postsecondary Education, Fall 2012

| Number of 2011-2012 <br> Standard High School <br> Diploma Graduates | Number of Students with a <br> Math or Reading PERT Score <br> indicating college ready | Number found enrolled <br> in Postsecondary <br> Education in 2012-13 | Percent found enrolled <br> in Postsecondary <br> Education in 2012-13 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students with CTE <br> enrollment | 470 | 1315 | $36 \%$ |
| Students with no CTE <br> enrollment | 168 | 693 | $24 \%$ |

*Analysis for this measure was calculated at the aggregate level for all participating schools, due to small cell size limitations with the school level data.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Students included in the project are defined as secondary students enrolled in career and technical education programs at the project schools.
    ${ }^{2}$ Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ Based on first-time test takers in grades 6-12.

